

The above amendments have been made in order to clearly recite the particular components of the claimed composition. Support for the amendments may be found in cancelled claims 5 and 11. The amendments do not constitute new matter and thus do not affect the merits of the claims. A "Version with markings to show changes made" is attached hereto.

THE OBJECTIONS AND REJECTIONS

1. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.
2. Claims 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Schraner et al. (hereinafter "Schraner").
3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Hockertz.
4. Claims 8-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Kutilek, III et al. (hereinafter "Kutilek").
5. Claim 8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(e) as being anticipated by Squires.
6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kutilek.
7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kutilek in view of Davis et al. (hereinafter "Davis") and Schraner and further in view of Squires.